505-37-03-02 ICASE Computer Science Program NASA AND ICASE HAVE LARGE INVESTMENT IN SCIENTIFIC Computing FUTURE OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING DEPENDENT UPON EFFECTIVE UTILIZATION OF PARALLEL COMPUTERS

PARALLEL COMPUTING IS SYSTEM ISSUE REQUIRING INTERACTION OF:

PROBLEM DECOMPOSITION
ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENTS
COMMUNICATION & SYNCHRONIZATION
ARCHITECTURE

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

PROBLEM DECOMPOSITION

MAP ALGORITHM ONTO AN ARRAY OF PROCESSORS

- BALANCE COMPUTATIONAL LOAD
- REDUCE COMMUNICATION
- REDUCE SYNCHRONIZATION

DEVELOPING MAPPING HEURISTICS FOR CLASSES OF COMPUTATIONAL GRAPHS

EXAMPLE: MAP TREE ONTO MESH

ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT

EXTENSIVE REVIEW OF NUMERICAL METHODS FOR PDES ASYNCHRONOUS ALGORITHMS

- ITERATIVE METHODS ON SIMULATOR AND FLEX
- NEW ALGORITHM FOR TIME DEPENDENT PROBLEMS

ADAPTIVE METHOD FOR TIME DEPENDENT PROBLEMS

BALANCE PROCESSOR LOAD
REDUCE COMMUNICATION

PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENTS

PISCES - PARALLEL IMPLEMENTATION OF SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENTS

FORTRAN - UNIX BASED

PROVIDE USERS WITH DIFFERENT VIEW OF SYSTEM DEPENDING ON INTERESTS AND NEEDS

ENHANCE PORTABILITY OF APPLICATIONS PROGRAMS

RI A7F

NEW PARALLEL SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE

OFFERS ADVANCED SUPPORT FOR ARRAY COMPUTATIONS

SIMPLIFIES EXTRACTION OF PARALLELISM BY COMPILER

COMMUNICATION AND SYNCHRONIZATION (C. & S.)

Major Overhead Associated with Parallel Computation

LACK FORMAL METHODOLOGY FOR STUDY SUCH AS COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY FOR NUMERICAL ALGORITHMS

DEVELOPED TWO MODELS THAT RELATE C. & S. COSTS TO:

ALGORITHM CHARACTERISTICS PROBLEM SIZE SEEK GENERIC CHARACTERISTICS OF C. & S. THAT IMPACT:

PROBLEM DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT ARCHITECTURE

VALIDATE WITH ACTUAL COSTS ON REAL PARALLEL SYSTEMS

PARALLEL ARCHITECTURES

EVALUATION OF ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES IN VIEW OF SPECIFIC ALGORITHMS

- BIN PACKING PROBLEM
- MULTIGRID ALGORITHM
- SPARSE MATRIX ITERATION
- ADAPTIVE ALGORITHM

Tools

- SIMON SIMULATOR
- FLEX WITH DIFFERENT LOCAL/GLOBAL MEMORY CONFIGURATIONS
- HEP
- HYPERCUBE (PLANNED)

PROBLEM

Software layers make a "virtual machine" out of any parallel hardware

IMPLICATIONS FOR:

PERFORMANCE

PROGRAMMING

CODE PORTABILITY

PISCES PROJECT

EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE ACROSS A RANGE OF ARCHITECTURES WHAT SORT OF VIRTUAL MACHINE SHOULD THE SOFTWARE PROVIDE?

[

EASE OF PROGRAMMING

PORTABLE APPLICATIONS CODES

PISCES DESIGN APPROACH

- SUPPORT RESEARCH IN PARALLEL SCIENTIFIC ALGS.
- BASE SEQUENTIAL LANGUAGE
- SIMPLE SET OF EXTENSIONS
- VIRTUAL MACHINE PRECISELY DEFINED
- SEVERAL GRANULARITIES OF PARALLELISM
- DISTRIBUTED ACCESS TO DATA
- PORTABLE TO A VARIETY OF PARALLEL MACHINES
- COMPLETE VIRTUAL MACHINE ENVIRONMENT
- SECONDARY STORAGE ACCESS MULTIPLE USERS ERROR HANDLING RELIABILITY
 - ı

FTN 77/UNIX/VAX UNIPROCESSOR VERSION

- IN USE

FORTRAN EXTENSIONS USER INTERFACE ANALYSIS PACKAGE

APPLICATIONS - RUNNING

ITERATIVE SPARSE MATRIX SOLVER

PIPELINED DATA FLOW ASYNCHRONOUS OR SYNCHRONOUS BROADCAST OR POINT-TO-POINT

IMAGE UNDERSTANDING/AI PROGRAM ı

APOLLO WORKSTATION NETWORK IMPLEM, - RUNNING

10 workstations, 3 disks Same Virtual machine for Pisces user Performance studies

FLEX/32 IMPLEMENTATION - IN DESIGN

- 17 PES, SEVERAL DISKS - SHARED MEMORY - 3 PERFORMANCE LEVELS

NEW FORMAL MODEL OF PARALLEL COMPUTATION